

JUN. 22. 2010 2:48PM

HARRINGTON & SMITH

NO. 215 P. 1

RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

JUN 22 2010

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Application No.: 10/572,710

Confirmation No.: 4697

Applicant(s): Zhu et al.

Filed: 03/17/2006

Art Unit: 2618

Examiner: Hannon, Christian A.

Title: A Mobile Cellular Telephone with a Display that is  
Controlled Partly by an Incline Sensor

Attorney Docket No.: 884A.0129.U1(US)

Customer No.: 29,683

Commissioner For Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Response to Office Action

Sir:

This is in response to the Office Action mailed 04/29/2010 in  
regard to the above-identified patent application.

Claims 1-9, 11, and 13-28 were rejected under 35 U.S.C.  
§103(a) as being unpatentable over Kaplan (US 6,690,358) in  
view of Salmi et al. (US 7,158,626). Claim 10 was rejected  
under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Kaplan (US  
6,690,358) in view of Salmi et al. (US 7,158,626) and Kalinski  
et al. (US 2003/0174307). The examiner is requested to  
reconsider these rejections.

To establish *prima facie* obviousness of a claimed invention,  
all the claim limitations must be taught or suggested by the  
prior art. *In re Royka*, 490 F.2d 981, 180 USPQ 580 (CCPA  
1974).